

# Incompleteness, Computation, Artificial Intelligence, and the Emergence of Meaning

Hirofumi Inomata  
inomata@acm.org

24th, Mar. 2026

## Abstract

This paper provides a unified conceptual framework connecting logical systems, Gödelian incompleteness, time-evolving axiom systems, artificial intelligence, computation theory, creativity, and the emergence of meaning. We analyze whether AI systems can be modeled as formal systems, whether they are constrained by incompleteness, and whether human cognition or interactive computation can transcend Turing limits. We further investigate the relationship between randomness and creativity, and argue that meaning arises from the interaction of structure, relation, and value.

## 1 Introduction

The development of modern AI systems raises foundational questions at the intersection of logic, computation, and philosophy:

- Are AI systems formal logical systems?
- Does Gödel's incompleteness apply to AI and human reasoning?
- Can interaction extend computational power beyond Turing limits?
- What is the nature of creativity and understanding?
- Where does meaning originate?

This paper synthesizes these questions into a unified theoretical perspective.

## 2 Formal Systems and Gödel Incompleteness

**Definition 1.** A formal system is a pair  $(\Gamma, R)$  consisting of a set of axioms  $\Gamma$  and inference rules  $R$ .

**Definition 2.** The set of derivable theorems from  $\Gamma$  is denoted by

$$\text{Th}(\Gamma).$$

**Theorem 1 (Gödel).** Any sufficiently expressive, consistent, effectively axiomatizable formal system is incomplete.

## 3 Existence of Logical Systems for Any Output

**Theorem 2.** For any statement  $y$ , there exists a formal system that derives  $y$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\Gamma = \{y\}$ . Then  $\Gamma \vdash y$ . □

**Proposition 1.** Such systems are generally non-unique; infinitely many distinct systems can derive the same  $y$ .

## 4 Time-Evolving Axiom Systems

**Definition 3.** A time-evolving axiom system is a sequence  $\{A_t\}$  such that

$$s \leq t \Rightarrow A_s \subseteq A_t.$$

**Theorem 3.** If infinitely many independent axioms can be added after time  $t_0$ , then infinitely many distinct future logical systems exist.

## 5 Order Dependence

**Theorem 4.** If axioms are independent, different acquisition orders yield different intermediate logical systems.

## 6 AI as a Logical System

AI systems differ from classical formal systems:

- implicit (non-explicit) axioms,

- probabilistic inference,
- dynamic context dependence.

**Proposition 2.** *A fixed snapshot of an AI system can be modeled as a formal system and is therefore incomplete.*

**Proposition 3.** *A dynamic AI system is a sequence of formal systems rather than a single system.*

## 7 Reconstruction of Reasoning

**Proposition 4.** *The internal reasoning process of an AI cannot be uniquely reconstructed from its output.*

*Proof.* The mapping from internal states to outputs is many-to-one, and information is lost.  $\square$

## 8 Computation and Turing Limits

**Proposition 5.** *Any finite execution of an AI system can be simulated by a Turing machine.*

**Proposition 6.** *Interactive computation does not exceed Turing computability unless non-computable information is introduced.*

## 9 Human Cognition

**Proposition 7.** *There is no proof that human cognition exceeds Turing computation.*

**Proposition 8.** *Human reasoning is not a fixed formal system but may still be computationally representable.*

## 10 Self-Reference

**Proposition 9.** *Any sufficiently expressive self-referential system contains undecidable true statements.*

## 11 Creativity

**Definition 4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a space of possible outputs and  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an evaluation function.

**Definition 5.** Creativity is the structured selection:

$$x^* = \arg \max_{x \in \Omega} V(x).$$

**Proposition 10.** Incompleteness enables creativity by generating open possibility spaces.

## 12 Randomness vs Creativity

**Proposition 11.** Randomness corresponds to unguided sampling, while creativity involves evaluation-driven selection.

## 13 Evaluation Functions

**Proposition 12.** Current AI systems rely on externally defined evaluation functions.

**Proposition 13.** Fully autonomous evaluation requires grounding, otherwise leading to infinite regress.

## 14 Understanding

**Proposition 14.** Functional aspects of understanding can be modeled computationally.

**Proposition 15.** Whether semantic understanding is reducible to computation remains unresolved.

## 15 Meaning

**Definition 6.** Meaning is defined as a triple:

$$\text{Meaning} = (\text{Structure}, \text{Relation}, \text{Value}).$$

**Proposition 16.** Meaning emerges when structural relations are evaluated according to a value system.

**Proposition 17.** Without value, structure alone does not produce meaning.

## 16 Synthesis

We summarize the overall structure:

- Incompleteness creates open possibility spaces.
- Temporal evolution generates branching logical systems.
- Creativity arises as selection within these branches.
- Evaluation functions define direction.
- Meaning emerges from value-weighted structure.

## 17 Conclusion

We conclude that:

- Logical incompleteness is universal across sufficiently expressive systems.
- AI and human cognition operate within evolving logical structures.
- Computation remains bounded by Turing limits unless external non-computable sources are introduced.
- Creativity and meaning emerge not from randomness alone, but from structured selection guided by value.

Thus, incompleteness is not merely a limitation, but a necessary condition for open-ended intelligence, creativity, and meaning.